



Plaintiff's lack of response to the Court Order indicates the Plaintiff does not intend to prosecute the above-captioned case and subjects this case to dismissal. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) (district courts may dismiss an action if a Plaintiff fails to comply with an order of the court.); see also Chandler Leasing Corp. v. Lopez, 669 F.2d 919, 920 (4th Cir. 1982) (court may dismiss sua sponte).

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the above-captioned case is **DISMISSED** without prejudice for failure to prosecute pursuant to Rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.<sup>2</sup> The Clerk of Court shall close the file.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/ Terry L. Wooten  
Terry L. Wooten  
Chief United States District Judge

July 21, 2014  
Columbia, South Carolina

---

<sup>2</sup> Under General Order, Misc. No. 3:07-5014-JFA, this dismissal *without prejudice* does *not* count as a "strike" for purposes of the "three strikes" provision of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). If Plaintiff wishes to bring this action in the future, he should obtain new forms for doing so from the Clerk's Office located in Columbia at 901 Richland Street, Columbia, South Carolina 29201.

\*\*\*\*

**NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL**

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within the time period set forth under Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

\*\*\*\*